Consent Item D.3.1. Authorization to File Notice of Completion Documents

Prepared by Bill Clark (Cajon Park School Classroom Addition and Sycamore Canyon

January 20, 2009 Modernization)

BACKGROUND:

Notice of Completion documents to be filed with the County Recorder for the following contractors are listed below:

PROJECT	CONTRACT AMOUNT	CONTRACTOR		
Phase 1 – Cajon Park School 20 Classroom Building	\$10,132,317	Douglas E. Barnhart, Inc.		
Phase 1 – Sycamore Canyon School Modernization	\$4,919,550	Douglas E. Barnhart, Inc.		

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the Board of Education authorize the filing of the Notice of Completion documents for the completed projects as listed above.

This item supports the following District goal:

• Provide facilities that optimize the learning environment for all students.

FISCAL IMPACT:

The fiscal impact of the contracts listed above total \$15,051,867. The budget of the Capital Improvement Program is \$128.8 million for nine (9) school modernizations, and this cost will be funded from CIP funds, Prop R bond proceeds, and State modernization matching funds.

STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT IMPACT:

Strong, positive relationships exist between overall building conditions, a positive learning environment, and student achievement.

1 1	i i		A
Motion:	Second:	Vote:	Agenda Item D.3.1.
WOUGH.	Occoria.	1,000	1.90

ALL SITES- STATE MODERNIZATION & ADDITIONS Project Summary by Site Project Budget Aging Report Phase One and Two Bonds (Working Budget) Cajon Cake Code Category - item Hille Creek Avanue Drive Canyon Funding Sources State State & Federal Funding 4,694,554 3,924,817 5.124.305 4.565.631 8.718,273 2,862,433 4.278.787 4,949,90G 2,420,306 39,539,096 35-00 State School Facilities Fund 4,694,554 3.924.817 5.124,395 4,565,631 5.718,273 2,862,433 4.278.787 4,949,900 2,420,306 39,539,096 2,870,035 3,830,741 25,608,581 Mod Grant 3,163,859 3,823,288 4,132,311 2,278,672 3,661,925 1,847,750 Supplemental Grant 1,300,000 300,000 It use gram MP 374,399 458,917 476,781 440,302 476,781 237,340 332,366 476,781 3,273,667 Jt use grant library 514,832 507,140 509,153 226,135 1,757,260 150,120 302,041 302,041 346,421 346 421 302,041 Pepper Drive 2017 Mod 3,600,000 3,500,000 Local Local District Funding 9.759.741 11.637.133 11,637,903 14,601,849 11,381,898 4,860,805 3.001.974 11.743.243 8,689,404 87,313,550 21-39 Bond Fund 5.937.876 11.257.015 10.565.444 8 221.553 8.340.835 2.793,156 678,787 8.715.416 4,992,831 61.502.914 17,072,387 Bond Mod Match 1,913,357 2,109,239 2,553,827 2,548,859 2,754,874 1,519,115 2,441,283 1,231,833 36,700,012 9,385,818 Jt Use Match 524,519 760,958 1,293,654 742,343 1,285,962 583,761 678,787 1,287,975 572,556 7.730,515 25-01 Barratt Developer Fees 790,636 1,790,636 Lennar Developer Fees 25-03 Pardee Developer Fees 400,000 20,000 600,000 400,000 1,420,000 Other- All and Solar Re-develop 3,027,827 20,000,000 3,421,865 360,118 3,696,573 25-40 COPS 1,072,459 5,780,096 2,641,062 2,067,449 532,551 06-00 QZAB 2,600,000 TOTAL FUNDING 14,454,295 15,561,950 16,762,298 19,167,286 18,100,171 7,723,038 7,280,761 18,693,143 11,109,710 126,852,646 Site Costs 1,362,754 12,393,959 Planning Costs 1,148,284 1,469,616 1,550,557 1,487,890 761,914 717,502 1,461,152 2,434,290 6200-01 Architect/ Engineering Fee 830,176 1,048,940 1,137,798 1,217,169 1,111,798 658,076 520,806 1,131,020 2,170,394 9,726,179 2,936,981 Addition - A&E Fees 152,306 390,159 384,618 605,696 152,844 157,155 379,737 562,155 Modernization - A&E Fees 677,868 658,780 753,180 611.474 958,954 400,921 368,500 751,283 1,608,239 6,789,198 630,173 16200-220 77.614 82,488 95,544 98,227 34,453 81,688 DSA Plan Check Fees 76,088 36,992 95,544 98,227 46,880 77.614 82,488 36,992 630,173 76,088 34,453 81,888 6200-230 CDE Plan Check Fees 4 304 4,219 4.574 5,298 5,447 2 051 1.911 4,541 2.600 34.946 0.036% 4,304 4,219 4,574 5,298 5,447 2,051 1,911 4,541 2,600 34,946 6200-240 Preliminary Tests 58,799 57,642 62,491 37,224 74,414 28,024 26,100 62,037 67,758 474,488 Asbestos Testino 29,399 14,012 13,050 17,758 225,536 28,821 31,245 23,024 37,207 31,018 Surveys 29,399 14,200 37,207 14,012 13,050 31,018 50,000 248,953 28,821 31,245 6200-250 Other Costs- Planning 177,391 175,865 182,265 195,322 198,004 136,770 134,230 181,666 146,658 1,528,173 Reimbursebies- Printing & Bidding .66% 95,544 77,614 98,227 34,453 81,888 630,173 CM Pre-Construction 77,778 77.778 77.778 77.770 77.778 77.776 77.778 77.778 77.778 700,000 22,000 22,000 Legal & Other Consultants 22,000 22,000 22,000 22,000 22,000 22,000 22,000 198,000 16,576,102 Construction Costs 13,560,613 12,896,250 13,962,940 16,178,440 6,370,389 6,007,108 13,863,055 7,974,390 107,389,267 6200-270 11,759,648 Main Building Contractor 11,526,409 12,499,128 14,476,332 14,882,820 5.604,899 5,220,098 12,407,322 7,103,082 95,460,738 5,101,689 36,161,194 5,180,849 8,636,594 1,810,102 5,031,959 New Additions 6,559,648 5.139.912 6,317,285 4,596,982 7.343.553 3.734.206 2,959,996 6.082.790 5.232,389 48.066.761 JI Use Librery / Tech 1,207,649 1,079,154 1,142,756 2.339.267 1.870.693 450,000 1.292.573 1.870.693 11,252,784 Jt Use Tech Lab Jt Use Multi Purpose Room Sitework Items 6200-272 ionstruction Management Fees / Reimb 1,175,965 1,152,841 1,249,813 1,447,633 1,488,282 560,490 522,010 1,240,732 710,308 9,548,074 General Cond. Bonds and Insurance 5.5% 646,781 634,063 687.397 796,196 818,555 308.269 287,105 682,403 390.670 5,251,441 529,184 234,904 518,778 651,435 CM Fees 4.5% 562,416 558,330 319,639 4,296,633 669,727 252,220 6200-176 Demolition (CP only) 55,555 55,555 Cooling Tower Relocation (CP only) 55.555 55.555 ocker Demo (CP only- in Additions Total 6200-274 Other Costs - Construction 575,000 155,000 155,000 155 000 155,000 155,000 155,000 155,000 125,000 1.785.000 Field Improvements (All except SC) 450,000 30,000 30,000 30,000 30,000 30,000 30,000 30,000 660,000 Other Consultants Quick Start Projects 125,000 125,000 125,000 125,000 125,000 125,000 125,000 125 000 125,000 1 125 000 6200-274 50,000 110,000 519,920 50,000 60,000 60,000 43,920 50,000 60,000 36,000 Utility Fees / Costs Padre Water / Helb 30,000 30.000 30,000 30,000 30,000 SDG&E 10,000 20,000 20,000 7,265 20,000 10,000 80,000 20,000 187,265 10,000 6,000 10,000 62,000 City Bond 10,000 6,000 10,000 10,000 176,395 187,472 223,242 172,926 217,145 78,301 106,546 1,432,211 Construction Testing Costs 84,073 186,110 Const. Testing & HAZMAT Monitoring 6200-280 176,395 172,926 187,472 217,145 84,073 78,301 106,546 1,432,211 223,242 186,110 217,145 176,395 84,073 78,30 186,110 1,432,211 203,000 125,000 Construction inspection Costs 127,598 188,000 207,000 160,528 68,049 64,201 50,000 1,174,675 127,596 203,000 168,000 207,000 180,828 68,049 64,201 126,000 50,000 1,174,675 IOR 117,596 191,000 156,000 195,000 148,828 56,049 52,201 114,000 38,000 1.068,675 10,000 12,000 12,000 12,000 12,000 12,000 106,000 12,000 12,000 12,000 75,000 75,000 75,000 Furniture & Equipment Costs 75,000 75,000 75,000 75,000 75,000 875,000 75,000 Furniture & Equipment Costs 75,000 75,000 75,000 75,000 75,000 75,000 75,000 75,000 675,000 75,000 75,000 75,000 75,000 75,000 75,000 75,000 675,000 Project Contingency 542.552 747,895 810,604 939,138 745,600 363,612 338,648 804,913 460,805 5,753,967 Project Contingency 6200-099 542,552 747.895 810,804 939,138 745.800 363.612 338,648 804,913 460,805 5,753,967 Contingency of Main Bldg. Contracto 542,552 747.895 810,804 939.138 745,600 363,612 338,648 804,913 460,805 5,753,967 TOTAL EXPENDITURES 15,630,440 15,457,825 16,673,832 19,167,261 19,268,663 7,723,037 7.280.760 16.516.229 11,101,032 128.819.099 **ENDING BALANCE** (1,176,145) 104,126 88,466 (1,168,491) 8,679 176,914 (1,966,453) Additional Cost for 10 CR Sidg, vs. 5 CR Bidg. 4,481,454 4,481,454



Santee School District

SCHOOLS: Cajon Park Carlton Hills

Cariton Oaks Chel F. Hatritt Hill Creek

Santee School District 9625 Cuyamaca Street Pepper Drive Santee, CA 92071 Prospect Avenue Date: March 11, 2008

Rio Seco Sycamore Canyon

Alternative

Success Program OTICE TO PROCEED WITH CONSTRUCTION

Contractor Name & Address:

Douglas E. Barnhart, Inc. 10760 Thornmint Road San Diego, CA 92127

Attn: Mr. Eric Stenman, President Phone Number: (858) 385-8200 Fax Number: (858) 385-8201

Project:

Phase 1-Cajon Park School 20 Classroom Building

Santee School District

Mr. Stenman, Congratulations on being awarded the Contract for the construction of the above Project. This letter shall serve as your notice to proceed.

Work shall commence on Tuesday, March 18, 2008 and be fully completed within the Contract time stipulated in the Construction Services Agreement for Lease-Leaseback. The completion date is Wednesday, December 31, 2008.

Other Special Notices or Instructions:

The District is authorized to direct Douglas E. Barnhart to proceed with the work as the project cost, determined from the Guaranteed Maximum Price (GMP) provided to the District by Barnhart on March 11, 2008, is less than the budget defined in the Construction Services Agreement for Lease-Leaseback approved at the February 2, 2008 board meeting.

Contract Amount (GMP): \$10,132,317

The District shall ratify the and approve the contract amendment one (1) defining the GMP for the Cajon Park classroom addition of the Construction Services Agreement for Lease-Leaseback at the April 1, 2008 School Board Meeting.

DISTRICT:

Bill Clark, Assistant Superintendent, Business Services

BOARD OF EDUCATION · Dan Bartholomew, Dustin Burns, Allen Carlisle, Dianne El-Haji, Barbara Ryan DISTRICT SUPERINTENDENT · Lisbeth Johnson, Ed.D.

9625 Cuyamaca Street · Santee, California 92071-2674 · (619) 258-2300



Santee School District

SCHOOLS:
Cajon Park
Carlton Hills
Carlton Oaks
Chet F. Harritt
Hill Creek
Pepper Drive
Prospect Avenue
Rio Seco
Sycamore Canyon

Alternative

Success Program

Santee School District 9625 Cuyamaca Street Santee, CA 92071 Date: May 16, 2008

NOTICE TO PROCEED WITH CONSTRUCTION

Contractor Name & Address:

Douglas E. Barnhart, Inc. 10760 Thornmint Road San Diego, CA 92127

Attn: Mr. Eric Stenman, President Phone Number: (858) 385-8200 Fax Number: (858) 385-8201

Project:

Phase 1-Sycamore Canyon School Modernization

Santee School District

This letter shall serve as your Notice to Proceed with Base Bid, Addendum 1 & 2, Alternate 1

Work shall commence on <u>Tuesday</u>, <u>June 17</u>, <u>2008</u> and be fully completed per the phasing plan approved on February 2, 2008 and within the Contract time stipulated in the Construction Services Agreement for Lease-Leaseback. The completion date is <u>Wednesday</u>, <u>December 24, 2008</u>.

Other Special Notices or Instructions:

The District is authorized to direct Douglas E. Barnhart, Inc. to proceed with the work as the project cost, determined from the Preliminary Guaranteed Maximum Price (PGMP) provided to the District by Barnhart on May 15, 2008, is less than the budget defined in the Construction Services Agreement for Lease-Leaseback approved at the February 2, 2008 board meeting.

Preliminary Contract Amount (PGMP): \$4,919,550

Amount include 3.5% builder's contingency. Owner contingency and the 10% contractor's fee and insurance is in addition to the values above and is not included. This does not include any Building G work other then a \$100,000 allowance for Mechanical/Electrical upgrades. Alternate 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, & 8 and unit pricing not included in PGMP amount.

Barnhart shall provide the Contract Amount: Guaranteed Maximum Price (GMP) on May 30, 2008 after completion of scoping meetings with Architect, District, and Subcontractors.

The District shall ratify and approve the Amendment to the Construction Services Agreement for Lease-Leaseback, Site Lease, and Sublease Agreements, defining the GMP for the Sycamore Canyon School Modernization, at the June 3, 2008 School Board Meeting.

DISTRICT:

Bill Clark, Assistant Superintendent, Business Services

Date: 5/16/08

BOARD OF EDUCATION · Dan Bartholomew, Dustin Burns, Allen Carlisle, Dianne El-Hajj, Barbara Ryan DISTRICT SUPERINTENDENT · Lisbeth Johnson, Ed.D.

9625 Cuyamaca Street · Santee, California 92071-2674 · (619) 258-2300

Consent Item D.3.2. Prepared by Bill Clark January 20, 2009 Amendment to Resolution #0809-22 to Pursue and Obtain New Construction Grant Eligibility for Classroom Additions at Cajon Park, Carlton Hills, Carlton Oaks, Rio Seco, Pepper Drive, Chet F. Harritt, and Hill Creek Schools

BACKGROUND:

The District currently qualifies for new construction funding from the State for unhoused K-6 and SDC students. The District wishes to transfer this unhoused pupil eligibility to the 5-8 grade expansion projects planned at seven schools. At its December 16, 2008 meeting the Board adopted Resolution #0809-22 to request new construction grant eligibility determined at a different grade level than originally allowed. The State Office of Public School Construction has advised the District to amend Resolution #0809-22 to state that, "the District certifies that it will utilize higher district loading standards within the approved district's teacher contract and which do no exceed **33:1** per classroom, as described in the District's Excess Grant Usage Plan."

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the Board of Education adopt the amended Resolution #0809-22 to use the K-6 grants and SDC grants within the new classroom additions for 5-8 grades: 18-classroom additions at Cajon Park, and 10-classroom additions at Carlton Hills, Carlton Oaks, Rio Seco, Pepper Drive, Chet F. Harritt, and Hill Creek schools.

This item supports the following District goal:

• Provide facilities that optimize the learning environment for all students.

FISCAL IMPACT:

Matching funds from the State of California for expansion projects based on State per pupil rates amounts to approximately \$6,300,000.

STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT IMPACT:

Strong, positive relationships exist between overall building conditions, a positive learning environment, and student achievement.

		.,.	Aganda Itam D 2 2
Motion:	Second:	Vote:	Agenda Item D.3.2.

RESOLUTION #0809-22 OF THE BOARD OF EDUCATION OF THE SANTEE SCHOOL DISTRICT REGARDING USE OF NEW CONSTRUCTION GRANT FUNDS

AMENDED

WHEREAS, the Santee School District (District) received State funds to provide school facilities for the projected unhoused pupils at the Santee School (Unhoused Pupil Project);

WHEREAS, California Code of Regulations Section 1859.77.3 permits a district to request new construction grant eligibility determined at a different grade level than the proposed project that does not exceed the capacity of a project, unless the project includes a request based on loading standards pursuant to Education Code Section 17071.25(a)(2) and any loading standards adopted by the State Allocation Board;

WHEREAS, the Santee School District (District) seeks to request new construction grant eligibility determined at a different grade level than the originally proposed Unhoused Pupil Project, to be used for the 18-classroom addition at Cajon Park School and 10-classroom addition projects at Carlton Hills, Carlton Oaks, Rio Seco, Pepper Drive, Chet F. Harritt, and Hill Creek;

WHEREAS, the District acknowledges that funds for the purposes of housing the excess pupils at the K-6 grades in Santee School District are being diverted to the 5-7 grade planned projects;

WHEREAS, the District acknowledges that the State has satisfied its obligation, pursuant to California Code of Regulations Section 1859.50, to house the pupils receiving grants for the Unhoused Pupil Project;

WHEREAS, the District plans to house the pupils receiving grants for the Unhoused Pupil Project in school buildings as defined in Education Code section 17368;

WHEREAS, the District certifies that it will utilize higher district loading standards within the approved district's teacher contract and which do not exceed 33:1 per classroom, as described in the District's Excess Grant Usage Plan.

NOW, **THEREFORE**, the Governing Board directs the Superintendent or his designee to pursue and obtain new construction grant eligibility for purposes consistent with this Resolution.

I hereby certify the foregoing is a full, true, and correct copy of a resolution duly and regularly adopted by the Board at a meeting held on the 20th day of January, 2009 by the following vote:
AYES:
NOES:
ABSENT:
STATE OF CALIFORNIA)) SS
COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO)
I, Barbara Ryan, Clerk of the Board of Education, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a full, true and correct copy of a resolution duly passed and adopted by said Board at a regularly called and conducted meeting held on said date.
Clerk of the Board of Education

Discussion and/or Action Item E.2.4. Approval of Agreement with Marks, Golia & Finch, Prepared by Bill Clark LLP for Legal Services Representation January 20, 2009

BACKGROUND:

Administration requests authorization to enter into a retainer agreement with Marks, Golia & Finch, LLP for legal representation. The firm has been providing quality legal services to the construction industry since 1987, and is known for its professional excellence as well being included in the exclusive Matindale-Hubbell Bar Register of preeminent construction and labor/employment lawyers.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the Board of Education approve the retainer agreement with Marks, Golia & Finch, LLP for legal representation.

This recommendation supports the following District goals:

- Provide facilities that optimize the learning environment for all students.
- Pursue actively the funding and resources to fulfill our mission and maintain fiscal solvency.

FISCAL IMPACT:

An agreement for legal representation with Marks, Golia & Finch, LLP for services to be provided on an as-needed basis will be funded from the Capital Improvement Program budget and will be up to \$375 per hour. The budget of the Capital Improvement Program is \$128.8 million for nine (9) school modernizations. See attached retainer agreement.

STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT IMPACT:

This is a fiscal item related to facilities. All fiscal resources impact student achievement.

			Agenda Item E.2.4.
Motion:	Second:	Vote:	

ROBERT J MARKS
DAVIDE GOLIA
P. RANDOLPH FINCH JR.
JASON R. THORNTON
JEFFREY B. BAIRD
CHAD T. WISHCHUK
STEPHEN J. SCHULTZ +
MARK T. BENNETT +
LOUIS J. BLUM
CHRISTINA M. RIMKUS
DAVID S. DEMIAN
DAVID W. SMILEY
RACHEL F TAIT
BERNARD F. KING !!!
NOWELL A. LANTZ
JUSTIN M. STOGER

MARKS, GOLIA & FINCH, LLP

ATTORNEYS AT LAW
3900 HARNEY STREET - FIRST FLOOR
SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA 92110-2825
TELEPHONE (619) 293-7000
FACSIMILE (619) 293-7362
INTERNET www.mgflip.com
E-MAIL dgolia@mgflip.com

December 15, 2008

ALLISON N COOPER
ANDREA L. PETRAY
JON F. GAUTHIER, APC *
DANIELLE C. HUMPHRIES
CHRISTOPHER R. SILLARI
DUSTIN R. JONES
LAURA E. BEATTY
ANDREW M. GIBSON
RODRIGO F. MOREIRA
AJAY C. SHAH
BIANCA V. GARCIA

* OF COUNSEL

+ OF COUNSEL via MERRILL, SCHULTZ & BENNETT, LTD.

OUR FILE NUMBER

1331.001

Mr. Bill Clark Assistant Superintendent Santee School District 9625 Cuyamaca Street Santee, California 92071

Re: Retainer Agreement for Representation of Santee School District

Dear Mr. Clark:

We appreciate the decision of Santee School District (the "District") to retain Marks, Golia & Finch, LLP. Please forgive the formality of this letter but section 6148 of the California Business and Professions Code requires that we have a written agreement. The purpose of this letter is to comply with that statute and to set forth the terms of our representation.

- 1. <u>Description Of Representation And Services</u>. The District has retained Marks, Golia & Finch, LLP
- 2. Fees To Be Charged. Our fees will be billed on the basis of time expended at the hourly billing rates of the attorneys, law clerks and paralegals involved. At the present time, our rates vary from \$155.00 to \$375.00 per hour for attorneys, \$65.00 to \$135.00 for law clerks and \$50.00 to \$65.00 for paralegals. My current rate is \$350.00 per hour. These hourly rates are subject to change in the future and typically increase in September of each year. We bill in one-tenth of an hour increments. In order to deliver cost-effective services, when practical, work will be assigned to other qualified attorneys, law clerks or paralegals with either billing rates lower than mine or some specialized knowledge beneficial to the District.
- 3. <u>Costs And Expenses</u>. We also charge for expenses and costs necessarily incurred to perform our services. Examples of these are Secretary of State fees, California Department of Corporations fees, court filing fees, service of process fees, deposition court reporter and transcript costs, etc. It is our policy to <u>not</u> charge for minor

Mr. Bill Clark December 15, 2008 Page 2 of 4

everyday expenses such as photocopies, postage, facsimiles, mileage, phone expenses, etc., unless these expenses become beyond the ordinary. For example, extra large reproductions or photocopying large quantities of documents for discovery, depositions or trial exhibits, etc., may become costly and we will bill for such expenses.

- 4. <u>Services Of Experts/Consultants</u>. It may become necessary to employ experts or consultants to assist in resolving a matter. We will obtain your approval for the retention of any such consultants or experts, and you may instruct us in writing at any time to terminate their services. The fees of experts and consultants will be in addition to the fees and costs charged for our services. In most circumstances, we will have the experts or consultants bill the District directly.
- 5. <u>Client Responsibilities</u>. We have two primary requests of our clients: (1) that we are kept informed of all information you obtain or discover regarding a matter for which we are retained; and (2) we receive timely payment for our services and advances. In this regard, we invoice monthly and expect payment within 20 days. As security for the payment of our invoices, the District grants us a lien upon any sums recovered (or which the District is entitled to recover) including any funds in our client trust account. This lien is in addition to our equitable lien rights.
- 6. <u>Potential Conflicts Of Interest</u>. Representation by us in a particular matter is contingent upon clearance of all conflicts of interest checks. The California Rules of Professional Conduct provide in pertinent part as follows:

Rule 3-310(C):

"We shall not, without the informed written consent of each client: [¶]...[¶] (3) Represent a client in a matter and at the same time in a separate matter accept as a client a person or entity whose interest in the first matter is adverse to the client in the first matter."

Rule 3-310(E):

"We shall not accept employment adverse to a client or former client where, by reason of the representation of the client or former client, the member has obtained confidential information material to the employment except with the informed written consent of the client or former client."

Here, as discussed at our initial meeting, we represent many contractors, and our livelihood depends on our continuing ability to represent clients in numerous legal matters. In order to preserve our ability to represent clients in legal matters that arise in the future, including matters which may be directly adverse to the District, by signing this agreement, the District agrees we may terminate our representation of the District at any time if the District or another of our clients refuses to sign a concurrent representation conflict waiver required by Rule 3-310(C)(3). Similarly, in any matter in which we may

Mr. Bill Clark December 15, 2008 Page 3 of 4

be adverse to the District, the District agrees we may represent the client adverse to the District unless the District makes an affirmative showing we obtained confidential information from the District by virtue of our representation of the District which could be used against the District in the case in which a conflict is claimed. Before consenting to our representation on these terms, we recommend you consult with counsel.

- 7. <u>Disclaimer Of Guarantees</u>. It is impossible for us to make any guarantees regarding the successful termination of a matter and all expressions relative to the merits of the District's positions are only matters of our opinion and do not constitute a guarantee of a particular result.
- 8. <u>Client Contact</u>. It is our practice to furnish our clients with copies of all important pleadings and/or correspondence and to give verbal or written status reports from time to time concerning the progress of our representation. We encourage you to contact us if you have any questions concerning the status of our representation.
- 9. <u>Termination Or Withdrawal</u>. The District has the right to terminate our services at any time. We may withdraw from representation upon reasonable written notice to enable the District to secure other counsel due to: (1) the dissolution of our firm; (2) the discovery of evidence that your claim, suit or position lacks merit; (3) your non-cooperation or material breach of this agreement; and/or (4) the discovery of an irreconcilable conflict of interest. In the event of termination or withdrawal, we may make and retain a duplicate file, and the District agrees to pay for all costs of duplicating and transferring the files. Similarly, if at any time, during or after our representation, the District requests its client files, the District agrees we may make and retain a duplicate file, and the District agrees to pay for all costs of duplicating and transferring said files.
- 10. <u>Arbitration</u>. Any dispute relating to fees and costs due pursuant to this agreement shall, at the District's discretion and upon timely demand, be submitted to binding arbitration before the San Diego County Bar Association pursuant to California Business and Professions Code section 6200, et seq., or should that organization decline to arbitrate the dispute, before the State Bar of California pursuant to California Business and Professions Code section 6200, et seq.

Subject to the foregoing requirements of California Business and Professions Code section 6200, et seq., any controversy or claim arising out of or relating to this agreement shall be resolved by binding arbitration before the American Arbitration Association by a single arbitrator in San Diego, California, in accordance with the Commercial Rules of the American Arbitration Association prevailing at the time of the arbitration and judgment on the award may be entered in any court having jurisdiction. The right to appeal from the arbitrator's award, any judgment entered, or any order made is expressly waived.

Mr. Bill Clark December 15, 2008 Page 4 of 4

mutual understanding as to the terms of our	ed and stamped envelope. A duplicate original ortunity to be of service. Very truly yours, Davide Golia, of
Englagyras	MARKS, GOLIA & FINCH, LLP
Enclosures	
DXG:smt/DXG49661	
AUTHORIZATION AND CONSENT:	
I have read and understand this retainer agr independent counsel before signing this agr	eement, and understand I may seek reement. I agree to the above terms.
Santee School District	
Signature: VCCCCCC	
Printed Name:	
7.23	e se e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e
Title:	A-100 - 100
Dated: 12/22/05	
Marks, Golia & Finch, LLP is authorized to the District from the following individuals:	accept direction as to the representation of
Lis Lings	
	wall-market minutes





Marks, Golia & Finch, LLP fulfills the legal needs of the construction industry from the boardroom to the courtroom.

MARKS, GOLIA & FINCH, LLP HAS SERVED the construction industry since 1987, representing prominent contractors throughout the state. The firm's clients include many of the ENR Top 500 contractors, including several from the Top 10 in specialty categories. But, the firm prides itself on its ability to cater to the needs of the largest and smallest companies. From bid protests to claim resolution, new entity formation to transfer/dissolutions, wage-and-hour disputes to union activity, and site development to litigation—the firm has its clients covered, and its depth of knowledge helps make their businesses more successful. The firm has received the AV rating from Martindale-Hubbell® and is listed in The Bar Register of Preeminent Lawyers in construction and labor/employment law.

Attorneys P. Randolph Finch Jr., Davide Golia, Robert J. Marks and Jason R. Thornton are named in this edition of Super Lawyers®, with Golia and Marks named to the Top 50. Finch, Golia, Marks and Thornton were also named in the 2007 inaugural edition of Southern California Super Lawyers - San Diego Edition.

The firm is an active member of the Associated General Contractors of America, San Diego Chapter Inc.

MARKS, GOLIA & FINCH, LLP 3900 Harney St., First Floor San Diego, CA 92110 PH: (619) 293-7000 • FX: (619) 293-7362 mgfllp.com

Overview

Since 1987, the firm has been providing quality legal services to the construction industry. In the beginning, the firm's focus was representation of construction industry clients. However, the firm has steadily expanded its practice to meet client needs. As a result of developing its practice in the areas required to service the broad needs of the construction industry, the firm's practice expanded beyond the construction industry and now includes the areas of business and commercial litigation, business and commercial transactions, labor and employment law, insurance defense, insurance coverage, government and regulatory law, real estate, intellectual property, personal injury, and trusts and estates. The firm serves as general counsel to many of its clients.

The firm is committed to provide the best possible service to its clients in all areas. This goal is a focus in hiring new attorneys and in all facets of the firm's practice. The firm's successful representation of clients is reflected by the firm representing many clients continuously since the year the firm was founded, and being regularly called upon by the Associated General Contractors of America, and other industry organizations and their members for legal advice, guidance and representation.

As its clients have expanded into other parts of the state and country, so has the firm's practice. The firm has litigated cases throughout California, Arizona, Nevada and in Washington D.C., and has attorneys admitted to practice in the state courts of California, Colorado, Florida, Nebraska, Pennsylvania, New Jersey, New York, Texas and Virginia, and numerous federal courts throughout the country. The firm has also structured cross-border business transactions and represents several Canadian based businesses. Regardless of venue, the firm's practice has been and will remain quality legal representation.

Marks, Golia & Finch, LLP has received Martindale-Hubbell's highest rating in recognition of the firm's professional excellence and highest level of skill and integrity, and the firm is listed in the exclusive Martindale-Hubbell Bar Register of preeminent Construction and Labor/Employment lawyers.









Additional Cost for 10 CR Bidg, vs. 5 CR Bidg.

ALL SITES- STATE MODERNIZATION & ADDITIONS Project Summary by Site Project Budget Aging Report Phase One and Two Bonds (Working Budget) Carbon Carlton Drive Hills Seco Canyon Category - Rem Oaks Park Crest Avenue Budget Funding Source: State & Federal Funding 39,539,096 5.124,395 State 4.694.554 3.924.817 4,565,631 6.718.273 2.862,433 4,278,787 4,949,900 2,420,306 39,539,096 35-00 State School Facilities Fund 4,694,554 3,924,817 5,124,395 4,565,631 6,718,273 2,862,433 4,278,787 4,949,900 2,420,306 25,608,581 Mod Grant 2,870,035 3,163,659 3,830,741 4,132,311 2,278,672 1,847,750 3,823,288 Supplemental Grant 1,300,000 1,300,000 2,600,000 458,917 476,781 440,302 476,781 237,340 332,366 476,781 3,273,667 Ji use grant MP 374,399 Jt use grant library 514,832 509,153 226,135 1,757,260 It use grant tech lat 150,120 302.041 302,041 302.041 302,041 346,421 346,421 302,041 346,421 2,699,588 3,600,000 Pepper Drive 2017 Mod 3,600,000 Local Local District Funding 11,743,243 87,313,550 9,759,741 11,637,133 11.637.903 14,601,649 11,381,898 4,850,605 3,001,974 8,689,464 8,715,416 4,992,831 61,502,914 2,793,156 678,787 21-39 Bond Fund 5.937.876 11,257,015 10.565,444 8.221.553 8.340,836 Bond Mod Metch 2,441,283 1,913,357 2,109,239 2,553,827 2,548,859 2,754,874 1,231,833 17,072,387 3,500,000 8.386.818 6,717,963 4.930.351 4,300,000 690,280 4,986,158 3,188,441 36,700,012 572,556 7,730,515 678,787 1,287,975 I Use Match 524,519 760,958 1,293,654 742,343 1,285,962 583,761 25-01 Barratt Developer Fees 1,790,636 Lennar Developer Fees 1,790,636 25-03 Pardee Developer Fees 400,000 20,000 600,000 400,000 1,420,000 25-18 Other- All and Solar Re-develop 3.421.865 360,118 1,072,459 5,780.096 2,641,062 3,027,827 3,696,573 20.000,000 25-40 COPS 2.067,449 532,551 2,600,000 06-00 OZAB TOTAL FUNDING 14,454,295 15,561,950 16,762,298 19,157,280 18,100,171 7,723,038 7,280,761 16,693,143 11,109,710 126,852,648 Site Costs 761,914 717,502 1,461,152 2,434,290 12,393,959 Planning Costs 1,148,284 1,382,754 1,469,616 1,550,557 1,487,890 1,217,169 558,076 520,808 1,131,020 2,170,394 9.726.179 Architect/ Engineering Fees 830,176 1,048,940 1,137,798 1,111,798 6200-017 Addition- A&E Fee 152,308 390,159 384,618 505,596 152,844 157,155 152,308 379,737 562,155 2,936,981 751,283 1,608,239 Modernization- A&E Fees 753,180 611,474 958,954 400,921 368,500 677,868 658,780 77,614 95,544 34 453 81.888 46.880 630.173 6200-220 DSA Plan Check Fees 81,888 46,880 630,173 77.614 76,088 62,488 95,544 98,227 36,992 34,453 0.66% 34,946 6200-230 CDE Plan Check Fees 4.304 4.219 4.574 5.298 5.447 2.051 1.911 4,541 2,600 4,541 34,946 4,574 5,447 1,911 2,600 0.036% 4,304 4,219 5.298 2,051 62,037 67,758 474,488 28,024 26,100 6200-240 Preliminary Tests 58,798 57,642 62,491 37,224 74.414 **Asbestos Testing** 29,399 28,821 31,245 23,024 37,207 14,012 13,050 31,018 17,758 225,535 Surveys 50,000 31,018 248,953 29,399 28,821 31,245 14,200 37,207 14,012 13,050 1,528,173 181,666 177,391 175,865 195,322 196,004 136,770 134,230 6200-250 Other Costs - Planning 182,265 imbursables- Printing & Bidding .86% 77,814 82,488 95,544 76,068 98,227 36,992 34,453 81,888 46,880 630,173 77,778 77,776 77,778 77,778 700,000 77,778 M Pre-Construction 77,778 77,778 77,778 22,000 22,000 22,000 22,000 198,000 22,000 Legal & Other Consultant 6,007,108 13,560,613 12,898,250 13,962,940 18,178,440 16,575,102 6,370,389 13,863,055 7,974,390 107,389,287 Construction Costs 11,759,648 11,528,409 12,498,128 14,476,332 14,882,820 5,604,899 5,220,098 12,407,322 7,103,082 95,480,738 6200-270 Main Building Contracto State of the 5,180,849 5,101,689 8,636,594 V. (C. 45) 1.810.102 5,031,959 36.161.194 3,734,206 5,232,389 7,343,553 2,959,996 48,066,761 Modernization 6,559,648 5,139,912 6,317,285 4,696,982 6,082,790 1,079,154 1,142,756 1.870,693 1,292,573 1,870,893 11,252,784 Jt Use Library / Tech 1,207,648 2,339,267 Jt Use Multi Purpose Floom Sitework Items 1,240,732 1,488,282 818,555 560,490 710,308 9,548,074 6200-272 Construction Management Fees / Reimb. 1,175,965 1,152,841 1,249,813 1,447,633 522,010 646,781 634,063 687,397 796,198 308,269 287,105 682,403 390,670 5,251,441 General Cond. Bonds and Insurance 5.5% 529,184 562,416 651,435 252,220 234 904 558 330 319,639 4 296 633 CM Fees 4.5% 669,727 6200-175 Demotition (CP only) 55,555 55,555 Cooling Tower Relocation (CP only) 55,555 ocker Demo (CP only- in Addition 155,000 155,000 155,000 155,000 155,000 155,000 155,000 125,000 1.785.000 575,000 6200-274 Other Costs - Construction Field Improvements (All except SC) 450,000 30,000 30,000 30,000 30,000 30,000 30,000 30,000 660,000 Other Consultants 125,000 125,000 125,000 125,000 1,125,000 Quick Start Projects 125,000 125,000 125,000 125,000 125,000 519,920 60,000 60,000 36,000 6200-274 50,000 50,000 50,000 110,000 Ulliny Fees / Costs 270,655 Padre Water / Helix 30,000 30,000 30,000 30.655 30.000 30,000 30,000 30,000 30,000 20,000 187,265 80,000 20,000 20,000 10,000 SDG&E 10,000 20,000 7,265 10,000 6,000 10,000 10,000 6,000 62,000 City Bond 10,000 78,301 106,546 1,432,211 176,395 172,928 87,472 217,145 223,242 84,073 186,110 Construction Testing Costs D 186,116 Const. Testing & HAZMAT Monitoring 176,395 172,926 187,472 217,145 223,242 84,073 78,301 106,546 1,432,211 6200-280 176,395 172,926 187,472 217,145 223,242 84,073 78,301 186,110 106,546 1,432,211 1,174,675 Construction Inspection Costs 127.596 203,000 168,000 207,000 160,828 68.049 64,201 126,000 50,000 68,049 126,000 50,000 1,174,675 6200-290 inspection 127,596 203,000 168,000 207.000 160.828 64,201 1.068.675 117,596 191,000 156,000 148,828 56,049 195,000 HOR 10.000 12.000 12,000 12 000 12,000 12,000 12,000 12,000 12,000 106,000 Furniture & Equipment Costs 75,000 75,000 75,000 75,000 75,000 75,000 75,000 75,000 75,000 575,000 Furniture & Equipment Costs 75,000 75,000 75,000 75,000 75,000 75,000 75.000 75,000 675,000 6400-490 75,000 75,000 75,000 75,000 75,000 75,000 75,000 75,000 75,000 675,000 Library Sheiving 460,805 5,753,967 542.552 747.895 745,600 363.612 804,913 Project Contingency 810,804 939,138 338.648 460,805 338,648 5,753,967 6200-099 Project Contingency
Contingency of Main Bidg. Contractor 939,138 745,600 363,612 804,913 542,552 747,895 810,804 542,552 810,804 804,913 TOTAL EXPENDITURES 15,630,440 15,457,825 16,673,832 19,167,281 19,268,663 7,723,037 7,280,760 16,516,229 11,101,032 128,819,099 8,679 **ENDING BALANCE** (1,176,145) 104,126 88.466 (1.168.491) 176,914 (1,966,453) DOMAND SANCE 4,481,454 4,481,454

Discussion and/or Action Item E.3.1.
Prepared by Bill Clark
January 20, 2009

Report on Energy by Design Incentives Capital Improvement Program

BACKGROUND:

During these difficult fiscal times, the Facilities & Modernization department would like to share good news and successes whenever possible.

San Diego Gas & Electric Company (SDG&E) offers incentive monies for construction projects utilizing energy-efficient lighting and mechanical equipment. District staff and the design team have worked closely with SDG&E representatives on our school designs and the final plans have been submitted to SDG&E for an energy design audit and review. The incentive amounts for all Capital Improvement Program (CIP) projects are \$687,042. Upon construction completion, SDG&E representatives will verify that the equipment has been installed as designed and the District will receive an incentive check that will be used to offset utility expenses.

Contract#	<u>Project Name</u>	Total Incentive	<u>kW</u>	e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	Zh:
63340	Cajon Park Modernization	\$69,259.00	164.6	256,002	361
63341	Carlton Hills Modernization	\$60,182.00	102.3	201,213	181
63411	Carlton Hills Ten Classroom Building	\$35,994.00	66.2	107,795	74
63343	Carlton Oaks Modernization	\$94,474.00	190.4	286,447	69
63412	Carlton Oaks Ten Classroom Building	\$33,374.00	63.9	100,099	124
6XXXX	Chet F. Harritt Modernization	\$86,181.00	198.7	252,920	1,470
6XXXX	Hill Creek Modernization	\$94,774.00	200.8	288,252	-87
63342	Rio Seco Modernization	\$92,761.00	194.8	279,598	462
63410	Rio Seco Ten Classroom Building	\$35,497.00	61.5	106,597	-57
63344	Sycamore Canyon Modernization	\$84,546.00	172.8	258,006	-51
	Totals	\$687,042.00	1,416	2,136,929	2,546

The annual kW savings of 1,416 kW is the real promise of the CIP program.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the Board of Education authorize the acceptance of design incentives from SDG&E and use of the moneys in the continuation of CIP projects to meet the long range energy savings goals of the District.

This recommendation supports the following District goals:

- Provide facilities that optimize the learning environment for all students.
- Pursue actively the funding and resources to fulfill our mission and maintain fiscal solvency.

FISCAL IMPACT:

The fiscal impact of incentive funds of \$687,042 will be applied, with Board approval, towards the reduction of energy expenses and the reduction of kW usage for reduction of energy usage.

STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT IMPACT:

This is a fiscal item related to facilities. All fiscal resources impact student achievement.

,		 				
I					į.	Agonda Itom E 3 1
1	Motion:	Second:	l l	Vote:	1	Agenua nem E.S. I.
		 			·	

Discussion and/or Action Item E.3.3.
Prepared by Bill Clark
January 20, 2009

Approval of Trittipo Architect for Architectural Services to Complete Phase II Schools

BACKGROUND:

At its October 7, 2008 meeting, the Board of Education approved Trittipo Architecture and Planning for architectural services to support the District's Capital Improvement Program and a memorandum of understanding (MOU) between the District and Trittipo was approved.

District legal counsel reviewed and approved the contractual agreement which replaced the MOU with Trittipo. The new contract has received careful review from Tony Fulton (Bond Performance Auditor), Tim Garfield (District legal counsel), Eric Hall and Associates (Facilities consultant), and the San Diego County Office of Education.

On November 4, 2008 the Board approved Trittipo Phase I fees of \$1,056,912. Administration is returning to request Board authorization to proceed with Trittipo Phase II work estimated at a total of \$2,540,763.52.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the Board of Education approve Phase II work with Trittipo Architecture and Planning for an estimated total of \$2,540,763.52.

This recommendation supports the following District goals:

- Provide facilities that optimize the learning environment for all students.
- Pursue actively the funding and resources to fulfill our mission and maintain fiscal solvency.

FISCAL IMPACT:

Architect fees for Phase II (four school projects) total \$2,540,763.52, plus reimbursable costs are within the Capital Improvement Program budget. The budget of the Capital Improvement Program is \$128.8 million for nine (9) school modernizations, and this cost will be funded from CIP funds, Prop R bond proceeds, and State modernization matching funds.

STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT IMPACT:

Strong, positive relationships exist between overall building conditions, a positive learning environment, and student achievement.

			Agenda Item E.3.3.
Motion:	Second:	Vote:	Ayenda nem L.J.J.